Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/073,863	PEYMAN, GHOLAM A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Humera N. Sheikh	1615
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Humera N. Sheikh</u> .	(3)	
(2) Garrett V. Davis.	(4)	·
Date of Interview: <u>18 April 2006</u>	Time: <u>11 am (est)</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 4-10, 13-32 and 34-40		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner) SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicatil s Representative SI	gnature – ii appropriate)

Humera & Reval

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner and her supervisor (T.K. Page) made the following suggestions, which would place the instant application in condition for allowance: (1) To incorporate the limitation of "introducing a second dye encapsulated in a second liposome where the second dye is releasable at a temperature at or above the protein denaturization temperature" in each of claims 1 and 10; and (2) To replace the term "including" with "comprising" in each of claims 1, 23 and 28. Applicant's representative agreed to the proposed changes suggested by the Examiner. Examiner received attorney's approval in conducting an Examiner's amendment making the necessary changes. Additionally, in order to avoid repetitious claim language, the limitation "further comprising the step of introducing a second fluorescent dye encapsulated in a second heat sensitive liposome into said bloodstream of said animal" has been deleted in claim 20 and the limitation "further comprising the step of introducing a second liposome containing a second fluorescent dye into the bloodstream of said animal to flow through said target site" in claim 36 has been deleted. A Notice of Allowability will soon be issued.